# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CHRISTOPHER CHARLES CLARK,

Plaintiff,

v.

FIDEL GUTIERREZ, et al.,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 1:21-cv-01386-AWI-EPG (PC)

ORDER REGARDING EARLY SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

Christopher Charles Clark ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* with this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, which includes state law claims. The Court has screened Plaintiff's complaint and allowed at least one claim to proceed past screening. At least one defendant has waived service of process.

The Court now directs the parties to participate in a settlement conference before a Magistrate Judge to see if the case can reach an early settlement. Neither side is waiving any claims, defenses, or objections by participating in this settlement conference.

Defense counsel is directed to consider Plaintiff's claim(s) and to speak with Plaintiff

///

///

### Case 1:21-cv-01386-AWI-EPG Document 27 Filed 11/14/22 Page 2 of 4

following this order.<sup>1</sup> If, after investigating Plaintiff's claim(s) and speaking with Plaintiff, and conferring with defense counsel's supervisor, defense counsel in good faith finds that a settlement conference would not be productive at this time, defense counsel may opt out of this settlement conference by filing a notice with the Court, in which case the matter will proceed without an early settlement conference.

If defense counsel opts out of the settlement conference, or if the case does not settle at the conference, the case will proceed to litigation.<sup>2</sup>

If defense counsel does not opt out, the Court will issue separate order(s) setting the settlement conference and detailing the pre-settlement conference procedures in due course.

Within fourteen days after the issuance of the order setting the settlement conference, in order to better inform all parties and have a meaningful conference, the Court is requiring that both parties disclose certain documents to each other about the case, as listed below. These documents are relevant to the case and will most likely be disclosed in discovery shortly if this case proceeds to litigation.<sup>3</sup>

## Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. Within forty-five days from the date of service of this order, the assigned Deputy Attorney General shall either: (1) file a notice stating that Defendant(s) opt out of the settlement conference; or (2) contact ADR Coordinator Sujean Park (spark@caed.uscourts.gov) to schedule the settlement conference. If the assigned Deputy Attorney General does not opt out of the settlement conference, the Court will issue an order setting a settlement conference. That order will include the date of the conference and the Magistrate Judge who will oversee the conference, and may also include procedures required by that Judge.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In light of the coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak and the evolving coronavirus protocols, the parties may confer by letter.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> If the case proceeds to a settlement conference, the Court will not schedule the case until after the settlement conference is held. Nothing in this order extends Defendant(s)' deadline to file a responsive pleading, but Defendant(s) may file a motion to extend the deadline to respond to the complaint.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> If any party has a legal objection to providing a particular document, that party shall file and serve a notice describing its objection.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The undersigned will not be the Magistrate Judge overseeing the settlement conference.

### Case 1:21-cv-01386-AWI-EPG Document 27 Filed 11/14/22 Page 3 of 4

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
	ı

///

///

///

\\\

///

24

25

26

27

28

2. If a settlement conference is set, no later than fourteen days after the date of service of the order setting the settlement conference, each party shall send the other parties, or their attorneys (if represented), the documents listed below. The parties only need to provide documents related to the claim(s) that the Court allowed to proceed past the screening stage. Additionally, defense counsel does not need to include any documents that defense counsel believes are confidential or subject to the official information privilege. Plaintiff does not need to request any documents from the institution at this time. Plaintiff only needs to provide these documents if Plaintiff already has them in his or her possession.

- a. Documents regarding exhaustion of Plaintiff's claims, including 602s,
   Form 22s, and responses from the appeals office;
- All non-confidential documents regarding Rules Violation Reports, if any exist, associated with the incident(s) alleged in the complaint, including disciplinary charges and findings;
- c. All of Plaintiff's medical records related to the incident and/or condition at issue in the case, if any exist;
- d. Chronos for transfer or Administrative Segregation placement related to the incident(s) alleged in the complaint, if any exist; and
- e. Non-confidential incident reports regarding the use of force incident(s) alleged in the complaint, if any exist;

Additionally, the parties may send any other documents related to the case that the parties believe will assist in the settlement conference. However, the parties may not request any other documents until discovery has been opened.

# Case 1:21-cv-01386-AWI-EPG Document 27 Filed 11/14/22 Page 4 of 4

3. The parties are reminded to keep the Court informed of their current address. Any change of address must be reported promptly to the Court in a separate document captioned for this case and entitled "Notice of Change of Address." See L.R. 182(f). IT IS SO ORDERED. Isl Encir P. Story— UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Dated: **November 11, 2022**